, •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/658,333	SASAKI, NORIYUKI
	Examiner .	Art Unit
	Mike Fatahiyar	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Mike Fatahiyar</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Mr. Sheetal Patel(attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2008	Time: <u>3:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-18 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Patel agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner and entered by the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		-
	·	•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Sign	if appropriate\
(Examiner/SPE Signature – if appropriate)		